# **Hybrid III-V/SOI Nanolasers**



#### Fabrice Raineri<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Laboratoire de Photonique et Nanostructures – CNRS (Marcoussis)
 <sup>2</sup> Université Paris Diderot – Paris VII



- Motivations of hybrid photonics and pursued approaches
- III-V/SOI nanophotonics platform
- Nanolasers efficiently coupled to SOI circuitry
- Hybrid memories and switches
- Conclusion and Future Work



- Motivations of hybrid photonics and state of the art
- III-V/SOI nanophotonics platform
- Nanolasers efficiently coupled to SOI circuitry
- Hybrid memories and switches
- Conclusion and Future Work



## **Motivations :**

## **Convergence of µ-electronics & photonics**

Photonics can help to overcome the limits of electronics, in speed and power consumption, for intra or inter-chip communication D.A.B. Miller, Proc. IEEE 97, 1166-1185 (2009)

#### **CHALLENGES**

- Deliver the necessary passive and active functionalities: low-loss waveguides, filters, sources, switches, detectors...
- Perform low power consumption and high speed: fJ activation energies, >10Gbits/s
- Small footprint for high density ( $10^4$ - $10^5$  of devices per mm<sup>2</sup>): < $100\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>
- Integration with Si electronics and CMOS compatibility for cheap manufacturing



## **Motivations :**

## III-V semiconductors/Silicon hybrid structures

#### Combine the best of both materials for photonics



## **Pursued approaches for heterogeneous integration**

### III-V and Si in contact $\rightarrow$ hybrid mode

### **UCSB/Intel**



A. W. Fang et al, Materials today 10 (2007)



Edge-emitters, DFBs, SOAs, Racetrack lasers, detectors, modulators.... III-V and Si separated by a low index layer → evanescent coupling

#### **IMEC/LETI/INL**



J.V. Campenhout et al, Opt. Express 15, 6744 (2007)



µdisks lasers, wavelength converters, memories, detectors...



# LET'S GO FOR "NANO"!



→Smaller footprint
→Better power efficiency
→Higher speed



- Motivations of hybrid photonics and state of the art
- III-V/SOI nanophotonics platform
- ightarrow General view
- $\rightarrow$  PhC lasers properties
- Nanolasers efficiently coupled to SOI circuitry
- Hybrid memories and switches



## **General view of the hybrid structure**





### General view of the hybrid structure: passive level



## General view of the hybrid structure: active level



## **PhC cavities**



## **PHOTONIC CRYSTAL LASERS PROPERTIES**



# when we incorporate active materials (QDs or QWs) $\rightarrow$ laser emission

### 2 types of PhC lasers



What is so special?



#### **Rate equations model**



 $\begin{aligned} & \tau_{rad} \ , \ \tau_{Nrad} \ \ \ \ carrier \ lifetimes associated with radiative and non-radiative recombinations \\ & \Gamma \ confinement \ factor \ & \beta \ \ coupling \ of \ spontaneous \ emission \ into \ the \ lasing \ mode \\ & \tau_p \ \ photon \ lifetime \ & v_g \ \ group \ velocity \\ & \sigma \ \ differential \ gain \ & N_{tr} \ \ carrier \ density \ @ \ transparency \end{aligned}$ 

What is so special with PhC nanolasers?

• High Q and small modal volumes  $\rightarrow$  threshold lowering (fJ/bit!)

$$I_{th} = \frac{q}{\beta \tau_p} \left( 1 + \frac{N_{tr} \beta V \tau_p}{\tau_{rad}} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{\tau_{rad}}{\tau_{Nrad}} \right)$$

M. Notomi et al, Nat. Phot. 4, 648-654 (2010)

• β coupling of spontaneous emission is close to 1!

→ Spatial redistribution of spontaneous emission into the useful mode due to suppression of other modes (band gap), and Purcell effect



What is so special with PhC nanolasers?

•  $\beta$  coupling of spontaneous emission is close to 1!

 $\rightarrow$  Spatial redistribution of spontaneous emission into the useful mode due to suppression of other modes (band gap), and Purcell effect



What is special with PhC nanolasers?

- $\beta$  coupling of spontaneous emission is close to 1!
- → Threshold-less lasers?



From G. Bjork et al, Phys. Rev. A, 50 1675-80 (1994)



ABORATOIRE

NANOSTRUCTURES

dépasser les frontières

#### What is special with PhC nanolasers?

- $\beta$  coupling of spontaneous emission is close to 1!
- 104 104 "Nanolasers" "edge emitters" Intensity modulation response  $10^{3}$ 50 mA Intensity modulation response  $10^{-2}$  $10^{2}$  $10^{2}$ 20 mA 100 mA 10<sup>-2</sup>mA 10 10 10-1 1 1  $\beta = 10^{-5}$  $\beta = 1$ 10-1 10.1  $= 10^{12} s^{-1}$  $= 10^{12} s^{-1}$ 10-2 10-4 10<sup>.2</sup> 10 mA 10-3 10<sup>-5</sup>mA  $\tau_{sp} = 10^{-9} s$ 10<sup>-9</sup> s 10-3 10-3  $\tau_m =$  $10^{-4}$ 10  $\tau_m > \tau_m$  $\tau_{nr} > \tau_{sp}$ 10-5  $N_0 = 10^{18} \, cm^{-3}$  $N_0 = 10^{18} \, cm^{-3}$ 10-2 10-6  $V = 10^{-15} cm^{-3}$  $V = 10^{-12} cm^{-3}$ 10 10-7 10 10-2 10-2  $10^{2}$ 10<sup>-1</sup> 10.3 10-1  $10^{2}$  $10^{3}$ 10-3 10 10 103 1 1 Frequency (GHz) Frequency (GHz) from G. Bjork et al, JQE 27, 2386-96 (1991)  $\rightarrow$  100GHz modulation possible!

### → Very fast dynamics!

### **PhC laser: Dynamics**



#### **PhC laser: Dynamics**

#### Some experiments on nanocavities

H. Altug, Nat. Phys. 2, 484-88 (2006) 950nm Nanocavity laser



Figure 8 (online color at: www.lpr-journal.org) Large-signal lasing response in QW-driven PC laser. (a) Response to excitation pulses at (i)  $9 \pm 0.5$  and (ii) 15 ps. (b) Excitation pulse train created by etalon setup. Imperfect mirror arrangement causes an exponential decrease in pulse power and only the first three pulses exceed the photonic crystal lasing threshold. (c) Lasing response delay.

S. Matsuo et al, Opt. Express 19, 2242-2250 (2011)

1550nm Nanocavity laser



Fig. 6. (a) Experimental setup for direct modulation. Eye diagrams for (b) 15 Gbit/s and (c) 20 Gbit/s NRZ signals.

### General view of the hybrid structure: coupling scheme



→ SMALL FOOTPRINT, ENERGY EFFICIENT AND HIGH SPEED LASERS ON SILICON!



- Motivations of hybrid photonics and state of the art
- III-V/SOI nanophotonics platform
- Nanolasers efficiently coupled to SOI circuitry
- →Evanescent wave coupling
- → Fabrication
- → Experiments
- Hybrid memories and switches



## **Different type of structures**





## **Different type of structures**





## **EVANESCENT WAVE COUPLING**



### **Evanescent coupling**



### **Evanescent coupling: field overlap**





### **Evanescent coupling: phase matching**



## **Impact on Fabrication**

Alignment error should be at approximatively 10% of the typical scales Accurate control of dimensions necessary for phasematching condition





## **FABRICATION TECHNOLOGY**





### **1-Adhesive bonding**

#### **Typical bonding InP layer on patterned SOI:**

# Achievements **Bare SOI waveguides Bonded InP substrate** - few cm<sup>2</sup> dyes of InP FIBCOUP AREA - BCB thickness <100nm - Accurate control of the thickness by SiO2 layer

- High Yield

deposition on InP



dépasser les frontières

#### LABORATOIRE NANOSTRUCTURES



InP removal



#### Alignment < 30nm $\rightarrow$ control of evanescent coupling

T. J. Karle et al, J. Appl. Phys 107, 063103 (2010).



## **EXPERIMENTAL DEMONSTRATION**



## **Explored sample: Wire cavity**

A. R. Zain, Optics Express, 16 (2008)

#### Assets :

- High Q/V on substrate (Q ~  $10^5$  demonstrated on SOI)
- Very small footprint (3μm<sup>2</sup>)





Y. Halioua et al., J. Opt. Soc. Am. B, 27, 2146-2150 (2010)



## Laser emission



## What about coupling efficiency ?



## **Coupling between nanolaser and waveguide**

Laser/waveguide system: intra-cavity field temporal evolution when injected:

$$\frac{dE_{cav}}{dt} = \left(j\omega_0 - \frac{1}{\tau_c} - \frac{1}{\tau_0} + \frac{1}{\tau_g}\right)E_{cav} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{\tau_c}}\varepsilon_{in}$$



Si Waveguide

absorption/gain « losses »

$$\frac{1}{\tau_{g}} = \frac{+\Gamma v_{g} \sigma (N - N_{tr})}{2}$$

 $\rightarrow$ Tunable parameter

Transmission of an incoming wave in the waveguide

$$T = \left| \frac{\mathbf{\epsilon}_{out \ forward}}{\mathbf{\epsilon}_{out \ input}} \right|^2$$













Index changes with carrier population (blue-shift)





Index changes with carrier population (blue-shift)

Minimum of transmission when gain compensates intrinsic losses







measurement for cavities coupled to waveguides :

- with various widths
- for 3 different separations layer thicknesses.

Coupling is optimal when overlap in the k-space between waveguide mode and cavity mode is the highest.









Uncoupled cavity quality factor Q<sub>0</sub> is necessary to retrieve η

Standard rate equations for QWs laser are used to fit L-L curve with 2 free variables:

> β factor Photon-lifetime

## $\rightarrow$ 10000<Q<sub>0</sub><30000



## **Coupling efficiency**



 $\rightarrow$  very efficient way to interface PhC cavities



- Motivations of hybrid photonics and state of the art
- III-V/SOI nanophotonics platform
- Nanolasers efficiently coupled to SOI circuitry
- Hybrid memories and switches
- →Bistable injected lasers
- →10Gbits/s switches
- Conclusion and Future Work



## **Operation based on active material**

## III-V quantum wells are embedded as active medium



*n* and  $\alpha$  (or g) are dependent on intensity

dispersive nonlinearity optical switching, bistability





F. Raineri et al, Opt. Lett. 30, 64 (2005)

### absorption/gain nonlinearity

amplification, laser emission, bistability



F. Raineri et al, Appl. Phys. Lett. 86, 091111 (2005)



## **Optical Bistability through injection locking**





## **Optical Bistability of injected PhC laser**

What if we inject a red-shifted ( $\lambda_{\rm inj}$  ) external laser in free running laser state ( $\lambda_{\rm 0}$ ) ?





## **Optical Bistability of injected PhC laser** What if we inject a red-shifted ( $\lambda_{ini}$ ) external laser in free running laser state ( $\lambda_0$ ) ? Light intensity ght injectior injection intensity Wavelength Wavelength $\rightarrow$ as injected power is $\rightarrow$ carrier density changes through stimulated emission increased, laser is locked at $\lambda_{ini}$ $\rightarrow\lambda_{_{0}}\text{=}\lambda_{_{ini}}$ and injected intracavity intensity is higher







## Hysteresis cycle



Dynamics determined by laser dynamics  $\rightarrow$  measured to be faster than 50ps



## **ULTRAFAST SWITCHING**



## **Ultrafast all-optical switching**





## **Ultrafast all-optical switching**



## **Ultrafast switching**

Reduction of carrier lifetime using surface InGaAs QW and material patterning



## **Ultrafast switching**

#### **Measurements**

**Transmission characterisation** 1,4 Transmitted signal (arb. units) 1,2-400nm SOI wire 1,0-0,8-Q=2500 0,6-0.4 pump& 0,2probe 0.0-1,52 1.54 1.56 1,50 1.58 1.60 wavelength (µm)  $\rightarrow$  induced blue shift of the resonant by the pump

→ 12ps carrier lifetime!→ Switching energy of 40fJ!

Quasi degenerate pump-probe experiment with balanced heterodyne detection



62

## **10Gbits/s Wavelength conversion**



## Conclusion



## **Future work**









French National Agency for Research Project





**PROWOC** 









## The actors



#### Alexandre Bazin Yacine Halioua



### **Rémy Braive**



#### Tim Karle



Rama Raj

#### Isabelle Sagnes

## **Paul Monnier**



#### **Fabrice Raineri**





